

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/650,563	08/28/2003	Chandra V. Mouli	MIO 0104 VA	7597	
7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER			
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP			OWENS, BETH E		
One Dayton Cer Suite 500	ntre	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Dayton, OH 4	5402-2023	2824			
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/650,5	63	MOULI, CHANDRA V.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Beth E. O	wens	2824				
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for received for received for reply we have reply received.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of the may be available under the provisions of NTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the security of the maximum state of the security of the maximum state of the security of the security of the security of the the security of the secur	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		_						
1)X Respon	sive to communication(s) filed	on 8/28/03						
2a) This act	tion is FINAL . 2t	This action is r	ion-final.					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☐ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	a) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the apple above claim(s) is/are sllowed. b) is/are allowed. c) is/are rejected. c) is/are objected to. c) <u>1-58</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co						
Application Pape	ers							
9)☐ The spe	cification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)∐ The drav	wing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
• •	it may not request that any object		•					
	ment drawing sheet(s) including to or declaration is objected to	·		•				
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All 1. 1. C	ledgment is made of a claim for co) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority described copies of the priority described copies of the certified copies of pplication from the Internation attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documents at Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicatents have been received in 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
· =	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O 049\	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
· _	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	•		Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2824

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-31, drawn to the method of manufacturing, classified in class
 438, subclass 652.
 - II. Claims 32-34, drawn to the method of manufacturing, classified in class 438, subclass 268.
 - III. Claims 35-49, drawn to the device, classified in class 257, subclass 408.
 - IV. Claims 50-56, drawn to the device, classified in class 257, subclass 344.
 - V. Claims 57-58, drawn to the process of using the device, classified in class 365, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Groups I, II and Groups III, IV are related as the process of making and the product made, and Group V is the process of using the product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process-as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process [MPEP §806.05 (f)]. For example, the conductor for the semiconductor substrate in Group III could be formed in a lateral direction with the first conductive and second conductive layers adjacent each other through a patterning sequence, unlike the

Art Unit: 2824

stacked layering in Group I. Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. This application contains claims (4-6, 9-11, 13-18) directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

embodiment 1: the first conductive layer is deposited with a first dopant in situ and the second conductive layer is deposited with a second dopant in situ; claims 6, 11, 13, 18.

embodiment 2: the first conductive layer is deposited and then implanted with a first dopant and the second conductive layer is deposited on the first doped conductive layer and then implanted with a second dopant; claims 4, 5, 14, 17.

embodiment 3: the first conductive layer is deposited with a first dopant in situ and the second conductive layer is deposited on the first doped conductive layer and then implanted with a second dopant; claims 5, 6, 13, 17.

embodiment 4: the first conductive layer is deposited and then implanted with a first dopant and the second conductive layer is deposited with a second dopant in situ; claims 4, 11, 14, 18.

Art Unit: 2824

embodiment 5: the first conductive layer is deposited and the second conductive layer is deposited on the first conductive layer and then the first conductive layer is implanted with a first dopant through the second conductive layer; claim 10.

embodiment 6: the first conductive layer is deposited and the second conductive layer is deposited on the first conductive layer with the first dopant in situ; claims 9, 15-18.

If Applicant elects the Inventions of Group I (method of manufacturing, subclass 652, claims 1-31), Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered — nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37

Art Unit: 2824

CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth E. Owens, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571.272.1882.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms, can be reached on 571.272.1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306 for official communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571.272.2800.

Page 6

BEO 05.10.04

ANH PHUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olah Plung